

**EXHIBIT F**

**Excerpts of Lu Deposition**

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

- - - - - x

In re: Chapter 11  
Case No. 22-11068  
FTX TRADING LTD., et al., (JTD)  
Debtors.

- - - - - x

Deposition of KEVIN LU, taken  
pursuant to notice, was held at the law  
offices of SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP, 125  
Broad Street, New York, New York,  
commencing March 1, 2024, 9:00 a.m., on  
the above date, before Leslie Fagin, a  
Court Reporter and Notary Public in the  
State of New York.

- - -

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES - (866) 624-6221  
[www.MagnaLS.com](http://www.MagnaLS.com)

1 K. Lu

2 Q. It says that you have used -- the  
3 methodology that you used in this assignment  
4 is generally identical to the methodology  
5 used to generate the Coin Metrics' prices  
6 with minor adjustments, is that right?

7 A. That's right.

8 Q. What were the minor adjustments  
9 necessary to price FTX digital assets?

10 A. In this case, I created a hierarchy  
11 of exchanges where I first preferred to use  
12 exchanges that are considered trusted by the  
13 trusted exchange framework and if a given  
14 asset is not traded on those exchanges, then  
15 I would then consider exchanges that are not  
16 trusted and if a given asset is not traded on  
17 either of the trusted exchanges or not  
18 trusted exchanges, then I would consider  
19 using the FTX exchanges and that is the  
20 primary way that this methodology differs  
21 from the Coin Metrics' prices. The Coin  
22 Metrics' prices does not have this hierarchy  
23 of selection.

24 Q. How is Coin Metrics different, what  
25 does it use instead of that hierarchy?

1 K. Lu

2 Bitwise in 2019 where they revealed that  
3 there was a great deal of wash trade that  
4 occurs in the industry. I saw firsthand how  
5 the industry responded to this finding.

6 I've reviewed the academic  
7 literature of other researchers that have  
8 studied this same topic and just by the fact  
9 of working full-time in the industry for the  
10 past five years and regularly interacting  
11 with other folks that work within the  
12 industry, it's my opinion that most  
13 sophisticated practitioners understand that  
14 this is occurring.

15 Q. You say you saw how the industry  
16 responded to the 2019 Bitwise article, is  
17 that right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And how did the industry respond?

20 A. There was a large amount of media  
21 attention. I believe data providers and  
22 practitioners within the industry either  
23 published research or made changes in  
24 response to the finding.

25 Q. What changes did practitioners make

1 K. Lu

2 A. It will consider all the candidate  
3 markets from all exchanges, but the  
4 methodology and logic used to select the  
5 constituent markets is identical between what  
6 I used in the declaration and the Coin  
7 Metrics' prices.

8 Q. So Coin Metrics' prices starts with  
9 all of the exchanges and the methodology that  
10 is used here only started with the trusted  
11 exchanges if they were available?

12 A. That's right.

13 Q. In the next paragraph, paragraph  
14 29, about halfway down, the middle of the  
15 paragraph on that same page it says, It is  
16 widely recognized within the industry that  
17 some exchanges engage in wash trading or  
18 other deceptive means to inflate their  
19 reported trading activity.

20 Do you see that?

21 A. That's right, I see it.

22 Q. What information did you have to  
23 support your statement that that conduct is  
24 wildly recognized within the industry?

25 A. I reviewed the paper published by

1 K. Lu

2 in response to the findings?

3 A. One example that comes to mind is  
4 that Bitwise identified in their view, 10  
5 exchanges that had, quote/unquote, real  
6 trading volume and in response to that, I  
7 believe that Nasari, which is a media company  
8 and data company within the cryptocurrency  
9 industry, they started publishing the  
10 reported volume for just these 10 exchanges  
11 on their website.

12 Q. Any other changes in the industry  
13 that you are aware of as a result of the 2019  
14 article?

15 A. I believe Coin Market Cap made some  
16 changes because they were severely criticized  
17 in the Bitwise report.

18 Q. Are you aware of other exchanges  
19 that took corrective action to address the  
20 problems that were identified in the 2019  
21 article?

22 A. I don't know the specific actions  
23 that exchanges took.

24 Q. But you are aware that many of the  
25 exchanges at least claim to have implemented

1 K. Lu  
2 corrective changes as a result of the  
3 findings of the 2019 article, is that right?

4 A. I don't know if they've made  
5 changes or not.

6 Q. Part of your analysis and part of  
7 the assignment is this calculation of a  
8 confidence interval, is that right?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And that's supposed to reflect the  
11 uncertainty in the determination of a price  
12 of an asset, is that right?

13 A. Right.

14 Q. Do you know what the definition of  
15 confidence interval is for purposes of  
16 statistics?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. What is that?

19 A. Typically, a confidence interval is  
20 reported with a certain magnitude such as for  
21 a certain level such as the confidence  
22 interval at the 95 percent level and that  
23 means that for a given statistic, the value  
24 of that statistic would fall within that  
25 range of 95 percent of the samples drawn from

1 K. Lu  
2 that using the 95th percentile for purposes  
3 of calculating a confidence interval is  
4 industry standard?

5 A. From reviewing other reports and  
6 papers on a variety of topics.

7 Q. And to be clear, when you say  
8 industry standard, what industry are you  
9 referring to?

10 A. It goes beyond the cryptocurrency  
11 industry. This seems to be a standard that's  
12 applied to a variety of contexts.

13 Q. Would you agree that the term  
14 confidence interval is intended to express  
15 the level of uncertainty when inferring from  
16 a sample to a larger population?

17 A. I think that's one possible  
18 interpretation, but there are other  
19 interpretations that are equally valid.

20 Q. Is that an accurate interpretation  
21 for how you are using the term confidence  
22 interval in your declaration?

23 A. My interpretation of my assignment  
24 was to produce a number that reflected the  
25 uncertainty of my determination of the price

1 K. Lu

2 a hypothetical population.

3 Q. So your understanding is that in  
4 statistics, it is typical for a confidence  
5 interval to be pegged to a certain percentage  
6 of value like the 95 percentile?

7 A. Yes, it can be reported at any  
8 arbitrary level, but typically, 95 percentile  
9 is used.

10 Q. What source do you rely on for your  
11 determination that typically, the 95  
12 percentile is used?

13 A. It's based on my studies of  
14 statistics at -- during my undergrad studies,  
15 it's based on other reports that I've  
16 encountered during my professional  
17 experience. I have found that 95th  
18 percentile seems to be an industry standard,  
19 if you will, that people tend to use.

20 Q. What courses at Berkeley did you  
21 take in statistics?

22 A. I took statistics courses, I can't  
23 recall the exact names, but they were a  
24 requirement for my economics degree.

25 Q. What's the basis for your opinion

1 K. Lu

2 and I chose the specific methodology I used  
3 to calculate this number to fulfill my  
4 assignment.

5 Q. Would you agree though that your  
6 pricing methodology including by excluding  
7 certain exchanges is taking a sample and then  
8 extrapolating that to determine the value for  
9 the population more broadly?

10 MR. GLUECKSTEIN: Objection to  
11 form.

12 A. No, I do not consider that a sample  
13 because usually when you refer to a sample,  
14 you are usually referring to a random sample  
15 where you are sampling without any bias.

16 My methodology involved selecting  
17 the highest quality constituent market.  
18 These are markets that are unlikely to engage  
19 in wash trading, have large volume, are  
20 unlikely to have outliers so I would not  
21 consider this a sample of the population.

22 Q. If you look at your rebuttal report  
23 which is Exhibit 2, in paragraph 34, you  
24 discuss three examples where you say that a  
25 confidence interval calculated using the

Page 66

1 K. Lu  
2 standard method in statistics would lead to  
3 unintuitive results.

4 Do you see that?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Are the three examples that you  
7 provide, were those taken from any  
8 statistical textbooks or other academic  
9 authority?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Did you derive those three examples  
12 from any of the statistics courses you took  
13 at Berkeley or any other courses you have  
14 taken on statistics?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Is the 95th percentile the same as  
17 the 95th percent interval in statistics?

18 A. I don't know. I'm not sure if I  
19 understand your question.

20 Q. Are you generally familiar with  
21 Professor Howell's work and analysis in this  
22 case?

23 A. I wouldn't say I'm generally  
24 familiar. I read a portion of her expert  
25 report. The portion that was relevant to the

Page 68

1 K. Lu  
2 margin of error is just an alternative term  
3 for the confidence interval and typically,  
4 the margin of error will be reported at,  
5 again, arbitrary level like 95 -- like at the  
6 95th percentile.

7 Q. I just asked you a little bit about  
8 your familiarity with Professor Howell's  
9 analysis.

10 You are aware that she used your  
11 analysis and the numbers you reported as part  
12 of her analysis, is that right?

13 A. I believe she used the prices that  
14 I calculated, yes.

15 Q. Do you believe that -- do you  
16 understand that her work was affected in any  
17 way by the specific confidence interval for  
18 any particular digital asset?

19 MR. GLUECKSTEIN: Objection to  
20 form.

21 A. I'm not familiar with the specific  
22 analyses that Professor Howell did, but my  
23 understanding was that the confidence  
24 interval was not an input that she used.

25 Q. What's your understanding as to the

Page 67

1 K. Lu  
2 sections of Mr. Konstantinidis' report that  
3 criticized both my initial declaration and  
4 Professor Howell's report.

5 MR. TOROSIAN: Can we maybe take  
6 five minutes.

7 MR. APPLEBAUM: We will take a  
8 five-minute break.

9 (Recess.)

10 Q. Mr. Lu, one of the questions I  
11 asked you a moment ago was about comparing  
12 the 95th percentile to the 95 percent  
13 interval.

14 If I reask that and ask is the 95th  
15 percentile the same as the 95 percent  
16 confidence interval, is that the same thing?

17 MR. GLUECKSTEIN: Objection to  
18 form.

19 A. I'm not sure. The 95th percentile  
20 is just an abstract term. I don't know if  
21 they're the same thing.

22 Q. How does the term -- how does the  
23 95 percent confidence interval compare to a  
24 margin of error?

25 A. Typically, in my experience, the

Page 69

1 K. Lu  
2 purpose of your calculating the confidence  
3 interval?

4 A. I really don't know, except that it  
5 was specifically asked for as part of the  
6 scope of my work.

7 Q. So one of the things that you say  
8 in your declaration is that the purpose is to  
9 estimate a range within which you think the  
10 true price will fall, is that right?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. So does that mean that there is a  
13 true price, but that you are not able to  
14 calculate that with precision?

15 A. I think there is a true price, that  
16 would be the price that is the output of  
17 applying my methodology to the data.

18 Q. Are you done?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. So if applying the methodology to  
21 the data creates the true price, why is the  
22 confidence interval not zero for every  
23 digital asset?

24 A. The confidence interval just  
25 reflects the uncertainty that I may have in

Page 98

1 K. Lu  
2 created in October 2023, is that right?

3 A. That's right.

4 Q. And there is a reference to version  
5 2.0 that was released in March of 2023, is  
6 that right?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Was March '23's version, version  
9 2.0, was that the original version or was  
10 there a version 1.0 or some other prior  
11 version?

12 A. There was a version 1.0 that was  
13 published earlier, I can't recall the exact  
14 year.

15 Q. How was version 1.0 different than  
16 version 2.0 or version 2.1?

17 MR. GLUECKSTEIN: Objection to  
18 form.

19 A. I can't remember the specifics  
20 because version 1.0 was published I think in  
21 2020 or something, a substantial amount of  
22 time ago, but it didn't contain as many of  
23 these statistical tests to detect fake volume  
24 and I believe that it didn't have tests for  
25 assessing whether or not an exchange has

Page 100

1 K. Lu  
2 the highest quality results. It represents  
3 our latest and most advanced thinking on this  
4 topic and the sample period of data that we  
5 used in version 2.1 encompassed the petition  
6 time.

7 Q. The data that's used or input into  
8 the trusted exchange framework is collected  
9 and reviewed quarterly, is that right?

10 A. Yes, we tried to adhere to a  
11 quarterly cadence.

12 Q. So the version of the framework  
13 that you used in December of 2023 when you  
14 prepared your declaration would have been  
15 using statistics from the exchanges as of the  
16 end of Q3 of 2023, is that right?

17 A. That's right.

18 Q. Why do you believe that that's an  
19 appropriate measure to determine whether the  
20 exchanges were trustworthy in November of  
21 2022?

22 A. As I said before, the sample data  
23 we used was from I believe Q3 2022 to Q3 2023  
24 so it included the petition time.

25 It's also my opinion that the

Page 99

1 K. Lu

2 proof of reserves and I believe that there  
3 were substantial changes in how we determined  
4 the regulatory score.

5 Q. I know you don't remember the exact  
6 date that version 1.0 was released, but you  
7 believe it was prior to the petition date of  
8 FTX's bankruptcy which was November 11, 2022?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Were there any other intervening  
11 versions of the trusted exchange framework in  
12 between version 1.0 and version 2.0?

13 A. No, there were not.

14 Q. So version 1.0 was the version that  
15 was in existence on the FTX bankruptcy  
16 petition date, is that right?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. Why did Coin Metrics not use  
19 version 1.0 to analyze and beat the prices of  
20 these digital assets if that was the version  
21 that was in existence on the petition date?

22 A. There have been substantial  
23 improvements in the methodology between  
24 version 1.0 and version 2.0. I felt that  
25 using the most recent version would produce

Page 101

1 K. Lu  
2 trustworthiness of an exchange, it changes  
3 slowly, there is no very abrupt changes. I  
4 felt that the fact that the data sample  
5 encompassed the petition time and the fact  
6 that the trusted exchange framework  
7 represents our best thinking on this topic  
8 made it the appropriate version to use.

9 Q. On page 4 of the framework which  
10 has the heading, Overall Rankings.

11 Do you see that?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. This is from version 2.1 which was  
14 released in October of 2023, is that right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. So this page shows the grades that  
17 each of these exchanges received in these  
18 five different categories, is that right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And those are data quality, spot  
21 transparency, resilience and security,  
22 regulatory compliance, API quality and then  
23 there is the overall grade?

24 A. Yes, that's right.

25 Q. Who decided these would be the five

Page 130

1 K. Lu  
 2 outstanding shares that equates to?  
 3 A. It's a very, very small amount.  
 4 Q. Do you know if anyone else at Coin  
 5 Metrics invests in Coin Base?  
 6 A. I don't know if others at Coin  
 7 Metrics invest in Coin Base.  
 8 Q. Are you required to report your  
 9 Coin Base share holdings to Coin Metrics?  
 10 A. No, that is not part of the  
 11 conflict of interest policy that we have.  
 12 Q. Do you believe that owning those  
 13 shares of Coin Base violates the conflict of  
 14 interest policy at Coin Metrics?  
 15 A. No, I don't believe so.  
 16 Q. Why not?  
 17 A. Because the situation is not  
 18 covered under the policy.  
 19 Q. What level of influence are you  
 20 able to exert with respect to whether Coin  
 21 Base is included or not as a trusted exchange  
 22 on the trusted exchange framework?  
 23 A. I am not involved in the day to day  
 24 maintenance of the trusted exchange  
 25 framework, other than Coin Metrics will apply

Page 132

1 K. Lu  
 2 A. I don't recall.  
 3 Q. Do you recall what grade MexC  
 4 received in the version 1.0?  
 5 A. I also don't recall.  
 6 Q. Was FTX included on the rankings in  
 7 the version 1.0?  
 8 A. Yes, it was.  
 9 Q. Do you know what rankings it  
 10 received?  
 11 A. I do not recall the exact ranking,  
 12 but I recall it was considered a trusted  
 13 exchange.  
 14 Q. You testified earlier that the  
 15 methodology that you've created here is one  
 16 way that you can calculate the price of a  
 17 digital asset, is that right?  
 18 A. That's right.  
 19 Q. What are some other ways that could  
 20 be used to calculate price?  
 21 A. Each data provider or index  
 22 administrator has their own methodology.  
 23 Many of them are similar, but typically, they  
 24 will have their own methods for determining  
 25 which exchanges they want to use as

Page 131

1 K. Lu  
 2 the statistical tests, but for the most part,  
 3 these tests are entirely rules based and it's  
 4 not able to be influenced by any one person.  
 5 Q. Did you receive any reporting,  
 6 shareholder reports, offer memorandum,  
 7 anything like that in your capacity as a  
 8 shareholder of Coin Base?  
 9 A. I guess I would be given the  
 10 standard reports that any other shareholder  
 11 would receive.  
 12 Q. Do you rely on any of that  
 13 reporting in determining whether Coin Base is  
 14 a trusted exchange within the framework?  
 15 A. No.  
 16 Q. So you are familiar with the  
 17 exchange LBank, is that right?  
 18 A. Yes.  
 19 Q. LBank received a grade of C under  
 20 data quality in the current version of the  
 21 trusted framework, is that right?  
 22 A. Yes.  
 23 Q. Do you recall what grade LBank  
 24 received in version 1.0 of the trusted  
 25 exchange framework?

Page 133

1 K. Lu  
 2 constituents.  
 3 They may also use different  
 4 statistical techniques in calculating the  
 5 price. Some methodologies may use the  
 6 weighted average or a time weighted average  
 7 price. Those are other common techniques  
 8 that I've seen from reviewing other  
 9 methodologies.  
 10 Q. Did you consider using any of those  
 11 other methodologies here?  
 12 A. Yes. Well, in the development of  
 13 the Coin Metrics' prices five years ago, we  
 14 did consider the usage of a weighted average,  
 15 but our backtesting results indicated that  
 16 using a weight average would be susceptible  
 17 to outliers, flash crashes and suspected  
 18 manipulation, whereas the use and of a  
 19 weighted median is more robust to these  
 20 situations.  
 21 Q. Did you consider any other  
 22 methodologies for how to calculate the prices  
 23 for the digital assets in this case?  
 24 A. No, I mainly just used our existing  
 25 methodology defined in the Coin Metrics'

Page 134

1 K. Lu

2 prices and applied it to this assignment.

3 Q. When you say the traditional  
4 methodology, that includes reliance on the  
5 trusted exchange framework, is that right?

6 A. Sorry, I don't know what you mean  
7 by traditional methodology, how is it used?

8 Q. So when you say you used the  
9 similar methodology to what you used to  
10 develop the Coin Metrics' prices, does the  
11 methodology used to develop the Coin Metrics'  
12 prices rely on the trusted exchange  
13 framework?

14 A. Yes, the Coin Metrics' prices also  
15 relies on the trusted exchange framework.

16 Q. Does the Coin Metrics' prices also  
17 look only to the data quality category in the  
18 rankings to determine what is a trusted  
19 exchange or does it use the aggregate grade  
20 in the far-right column in the framework?

21 A. It uses the aggregate grade.

22 Q. So you are using the trusted  
23 exchange framework differently for this  
24 analysis than you do with the Coin Metrics'  
25 prices, is that right?

Page 136

1 K. Lu

2 from willing buyers and willing sellers and  
3 that is what the data quality subcategory  
4 specifically examines, it examines for the  
5 presence of wash trading or, conversely,  
6 whether an exchange mostly engages in  
7 economic trading.

8 Q. So the other categories are not  
9 relevant to determining price?

10 A. They're less relevant, for example,  
11 the criteria for transparency mainly  
12 addresses whether an exchange has proof of  
13 reserves which means whether or not they can  
14 prove that all assets on the platform are  
15 backed by actual holdings. That's less  
16 relevant for calculating prices.

17 Q. Coin Metrics, one of the products  
18 it provides is the market data feed, is that  
19 right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Does that include exchanges such as  
22 LBank?

23 A. Yes, LBank is one of the exchanges  
24 in the market data feed product.

25 Q. Does the inclusion of LBank render

Page 135

1 K. Lu

2 A. That's right.

3 Q. Why did you deviate from what is  
4 normally done with the Coin Metrics' prices?

5 A. I thought that using a hierarchy of  
6 exchanges would be more appropriate where I  
7 first rely on the trusted exchanges if a  
8 given asset is traded on those exchanges and,  
9 if not, then I will consider the low rated  
10 exchanges and, if not, I will consider the  
11 FTX exchanges.

12 I thought this would lead to a more  
13 -- to a higher quality price, something  
14 that's more defensible and utilizes the  
15 highest quality constituent exchanges  
16 possible for each asset.

17 Q. How does excluding the categories  
18 of transparency, resilience and security,  
19 regulatory compliance and API quality result  
20 in more likely to receive a higher quality  
21 exchange?

22 A. In this case, I wanted to place  
23 greater emphasis on ensuring that the  
24 transactions on the exchanges I used were  
25 truly economic in nature and were the results

Page 137

1 K. Lu

2 the market data feed less reliable?

3 A. No, no, we cover a wide range of  
4 exchanges of varying quality. LBank happens  
5 to be the lowest quality exchange that we  
6 cover according to the trusted exchange  
7 framework, but I don't think it lowers the  
8 quality of our overall data product.

9 Q. Why does inclusion of a lower  
10 quality, in your view, exchange, not produce  
11 the quality of the market data feed product?

12 A. We are just providing data reported  
13 by the exchanges. The users can make their  
14 own determination as to what data they want  
15 to use from us and we publish the trusted  
16 exchange framework as one method that they  
17 can use to inform this decision.

18 Q. Within the market data feed, is  
19 there an indication that the data relating to  
20 LBank may be less reliable because it's  
21 coming from a less trusted exchange?

22 A. There is no label or indicator in  
23 the market data feed that speaks of the  
24 quality of an exchange.

25 MR. APPLEBAUM: I believe that's



Page 138

K. Lu

all the questions -- we would request production of a copy of the conflict of interest policy that was referenced. I will pass the witness.

EXAMINATION BY

MR. CHAPPLE:

Q. My name is Ben Chapple. I'm an attorney with Reed Smith.

The ground rules that Mr. Applebaum went over with you at the outset of this deposition apply. We won't talk over each other.

If there is anything you don't understand, please ask me to rephrase my question.

A. Sure.

Q. If you answer my question, I will assume you understand it.

Do you understand?

A. Yes.

Q. Obviously, we covered a lot of ground today. I will do my best not to rehash anything we've already discussed, but there will be some overlap.

Page 140

K. Lu

A. That's right.

Q. You specifically advised Professor Howell not to use volume data from ZB, Local Bitcoin and LBank, isn't that correct?

A. No, I did not specifically advise her to do that.

Q. Do you believe that Professor Howell should have used volume data from ZB, Local Bitcoin or LBank?

A. Again, this is something that's hard for me to offer an opinion about since it's outside the scope of my work and I am not familiar with the scope of her assignment or the research regarding how to calculate a liquidity discount so for those reasons, I can't say whether or not it's appropriate or not -- whether it's appropriate or not appropriate.

Q. So just to be clear, when I asked earlier if you advised Professor Howell not to use volume data from ZB, Local Bitcoin or LBank, just to be clear, I mean directly or indirectly including through counsel.

Do you understand?

Page 139

K. Lu

Do you know what a market maker is?

A. Yes.

Q. What is a market maker?

A. They typically will hold inventory in a wide range of assets and facilitate the buying and selling of that asset to interested parties. They're in the business of providing liquidity to buyers and sellers that desire liquidity.

Q. Do you believe there are any competitive market makers with respect to Maps and/or OXY tokens?

A. I don't know if there are any market makers that engage in trading of those assets.

Q. So you are just simply unaware whether any market makers exist competitive or otherwise?

A. Right, there could be or couldn't be, I don't know one way or the other.

Q. In connection with your engagement, you undertook no effort to determine the extent to which there are market makers, is that correct?

Page 141

K. Lu

A. Yes, I received questions from Alvarez & Marsal. They presented me with some data which showed outliers in volume, many of which came from the exchanges ZB.com and LBank.

In Coin Metrics' experience of maintaining our data feeds with exchanges over the past five years, we've determined that there are several instances where the reported data from ZB.com and LBank is clearly erroneous. We've seen specific examples where volume has spiked by a factor of 8,000 which suggests to us that the data is completely wrong and we observed this both on LBank and ZB.com.

I expressed these thoughts to Alvarez & Marsal, but I had no context as to how they were going to use this information.

Q. So it was not your intention to advise Professor Howell directly or indirectly whether or not she should use volume data from ZB, Local Bitcoin and/or LBank, is that correct?

A. I didn't know the context of how

Page 142

1 K. Lu  
2 they were going to use it. I simply received  
3 some charts that showed some outliers and I  
4 explained to them why they are seeing these  
5 outliers.

6 Q. Cryptocurrency trades 24/7,  
7 correct?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And cryptocurrency traders are all  
10 over the world, right?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Do you agree that the highest  
13 activity in cryptocurrency generally --  
14 strike that.

15 Do you agree with the statement  
16 that the highest activity in cryptocurrency  
17 trading occurs between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00  
18 p.m. local time?

19 A. By local time, do you mean a  
20 specific time zone or...

21 Q. By local time, I mean the time zone  
22 in which the trader resides, is located  
23 during that period of time.

24 A. Yes, I agree with that statement.

25 Q. Just to be clear, you agree that

Page 144

1 K. Lu  
2 petition time. If I chose a different  
3 window, that would violate my understanding  
4 of the assignment because it would involve  
5 data, perhaps a significant time before the  
6 petition time.

7 Q. A point of disagreement between you  
8 and Mr. Konstantinidis relates to the  
9 liability of trading volume data from Coin  
10 Market Cap, correct?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Coin Market Cap is a data  
13 aggregator, correct?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. What does it mean to be a data  
16 aggregator?

17 A. In this context, I mean that it's  
18 taking data from a large number of exchanges  
19 and applying statistical techniques to show  
20 prices and combine trading volume.

21 Q. Coin Market Cap is a competitor of  
22 Coin Metrics, correct?

23 A. Depends on what you mean by  
24 competitor.

25 Internally, we don't really

Page 143

1 K. Lu  
2 the highest activity for cryptocurrency  
3 occurs 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. where the  
4 trader is located, correct?

5 A. It generally follows that pattern.

6 Q. Under what circumstances does it  
7 not follow that general pattern?

8 A. I don't know. There could be  
9 certain assets that just for some reason,  
10 don't follow that pattern.

11 Q. Sitting here today, are you  
12 familiar with any circumstances or specific  
13 instances where it did not follow that  
14 general pattern that you can point to?

15 A. I don't know of any specific  
16 instances, I haven't looked at the data.

17 Q. So in light of recognizing that  
18 generally, the highest activity in  
19 cryptocurrency occurs between 9:00 a.m. and  
20 4:00 p.m. local time, why did you choose a  
21 60-minute interval?

22 A. My interpretation of my assignment  
23 was to calculate the price exactly at the  
24 petition time. That meant that I chose a  
25 calculation window immediately prior to the

Page 145

1 K. Lu  
2 consider it to be a close competitor because  
3 the profile of clients that we serve is very  
4 different. My understanding is that Coin  
5 Market Cap uses an advertising based and  
6 exchange paid business model and primarily  
7 serves a retail user base, whereas Coin  
8 Metrics, we are exclusively a business to  
9 business company that serves institutional  
10 investors and other companies in the digital  
11 assets industry.

12 Q. Do you agree with me that Coin  
13 Market Cap as well as Coin Metrics' customer  
14 base overlaps?

15 A. No, I said that we don't believe  
16 there is much overlap because Coin Market Cap  
17 primarily serves a retail user base, they  
18 primarily serve the exchanges themselves,  
19 that's how they get paid, whereas Coin  
20 Metrics serves institutional investors and  
21 companies in the industry.

22 Q. So it's your understanding that  
23 Coin Market Cap does not serve institutional  
24 investors or others in the industry?

25 A. They may serve, but I think

Page 146

1 K. Lu

2 primarily they do not serve institutional  
3 investors.

4 Q. You indicate that Coin Market Cap  
5 is not a reliable source of trading volume  
6 data because you believe, based on certain  
7 studies identified in your response, that  
8 Coin Market Cap's volume numbers include a  
9 large portion of fake volume and wash  
10 trading, correct?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Have you performed any independent  
13 analysis of the reliability of the trading  
14 volume reported by Coin Market Cap?

15 A. I personally haven't.

16 Q. Has anyone done so at your  
17 direction?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Why did you caveat your answer,  
20 personally, you have not?

21 A. I decided to caveat because I  
22 reviewed research from other researchers  
23 outside of Coin Metrics who have examined the  
24 accuracy of Coin Market Cap's volumes and  
25 their conclusion is that it's over reporting.

Page 148

1 K. Lu

2 A. Yes, trading volume.

3 Q. And, in fact, in footnote 8 of your  
4 response, you note that after the publication  
5 of the 2019 Bitwise article, Coin Market Cap  
6 began excluding a particular exchange from  
7 reported volume calculations if the exchange  
8 had zero fees, provides incentives to users  
9 to trade or if the price outliers are  
10 detected, isn't that correct?

11 Footnote 8, paragraph 10 of your  
12 report if you would like to refer to it.

13 A. Yes, that's correct.

14 Q. When were these changes  
15 implemented?

16 A. I don't know the exact date, but in  
17 the subsequent months after the publication  
18 of the 2019 Bitwise report.

19 Q. So the changes would have been  
20 implemented prior to the petition date?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Would an exchange that had zero  
23 fees be more likely to have more fake volume  
24 or wash trading than an exchange that  
25 enforces trading fees?

Page 147

1 K. Lu

2 Q. You said that you reviewed other  
3 reviewer's findings, is that what you said?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Did you independently assess the  
6 other reviewer's finding or did you just take  
7 the reviewer's finding at face value?

8 A. As one example, I reviewed the 2019  
9 Bitwise paper which concluded that 95 percent  
10 of the volume is fake and although we have  
11 not performed the same exact analysis that  
12 Bitwise did, we have used the techniques that  
13 they introduced in the paper and applied it  
14 to our own sample of data and have found  
15 those techniques are effective in identifying  
16 exchanges that may engage in wash trading.

17 Q. So the Bitwise article that you  
18 were just mentioning, I will refer to it as  
19 the 2019 Bitwise article.

20 Do you understand?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Following the publication of the  
23 2019 Bitwise article, Coin Market Cap made  
24 some changes to how it calculates total sales  
25 volume, correct?

Page 149

1 K. Lu

2 A. Yes, I think an exchange that has  
3 zero trading fees invites wash trading.

4 Q. So removing exchanges without  
5 trading fees would reduce the percentage of  
6 fake volume or wash trading, correct?

7 A. It would, but there would be  
8 several other exchanges that engage in wash  
9 trade that that rule would not exclude.

10 Q. Such as?

11 A. As I explained in my footnote 8,  
12 the steps that Coin Market Cap took represent  
13 only the most cursory attempts at addressing  
14 the fake volume problem. They are removing  
15 exchanges which are engaging the most blatant  
16 and obvious forms of wash trading. It's my  
17 opinion that if an exchange wants to engage  
18 in wash trading to deceive market  
19 participants, they would want to do it in a  
20 somewhat covert manner, they want to do it  
21 secretly and not let others know that they  
22 are doing so in order for their deception to  
23 succeed.

24 It's my understanding that Coin  
25 Market Cap is still taking no steps to

Page 150

1 K. Lu  
2 address exchanges that may engage in covert  
3 deception and it is still my opinion that  
4 Coin Market Cap's volume includes substantial  
5 amount of wash trading.

6 Q. Would an exchange that provides  
7 incentives to users to trade be more likely  
8 to have more fake volume or wash trade in an  
9 exchange that does not offer such incentives?

10 A. Yes, it would -- an exchange that  
11 engages in that process would have more wash  
12 trade.

13 Q. So removing exchanges that provides  
14 incentive to users to trade would reduce the  
15 percentage of fake volume or wash trading,  
16 correct?

17 A. Yes, but as I mentioned before,  
18 that only addresses the most cursory attempt  
19 at addressing the problem.

20 Q. Would an exchange that has price  
21 outliers be more likely to have fake volume  
22 or wash trading than an exchange that does  
23 not offer such incentives?

24 A. I think that it's unrelated to wash  
25 trading. I don't know why Coin Market Cap

Page 152

1 K. Lu  
2 the same conclusion.

3 Q. Can you identify any of those  
4 researchers?

5 A. Yes. They are listed in my  
6 response in footnote 7.

7 Q. Is Cong one of the researchers?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Is Le Pennec one of the  
10 researchers?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Is it fair to say that footnote 7  
13 includes all of the researchers of which you  
14 are aware?

15 A. No. In fact, if you Google on  
16 Google Scholar the terms crypto wash trading,  
17 you will find hundreds of results. It's been  
18 a well-studied topic in the literature.

19 Q. Why did you choose to include the  
20 specific examples that you did in footnote 7  
21 as opposed to any of the other hundreds of  
22 examples?

23 A. These are some of the most highly  
24 cited papers. The ones that have achieved  
25 the most prominence in the literature.

Page 151

1 K. Lu  
2 decides to exclude it. One reason could be  
3 that sometimes a ticker symbol may be  
4 mismatched between the exchange reported  
5 symbol and Coin Market Cap symbol that could  
6 lead to an outlier. In those cases, they  
7 decide to remove it from the volume  
8 calculation.

9 Q. Have you performed or has anyone at  
10 your direction performed any analysis to  
11 determine whether any changes implemented by  
12 Coin Market Cap after the 2019 Bitwise  
13 article improved the reliability of Coin  
14 Market Cap's reported trading volume?

15 A. No, I have not and no one under my  
16 direction has done so either.

17 Q. Are you aware of any studies that  
18 analyze the reliability of Coin Market Cap's  
19 volume data after the changes we just  
20 discussed were implemented?

21 A. I don't know, but subsequent to the  
22 publication of the 2019 Bitwise report, there  
23 have been other independent researchers who  
24 have studied the same problem using more  
25 recent samples of data and they have come to

Page 153

1 K. Lu  
2 Q. In your view, did the examples that  
3 you included in footnote 7 best support your  
4 opinion on this issue?

5 A. I don't know if they best support,  
6 there could be other papers that support it  
7 even better, but they're certainly consistent  
8 with my opinion that there is a considerable  
9 amount of wash trade that occurs.

10 Q. You note in your report that after  
11 the publication of the 2019 Bitwise article,  
12 Coin Market Cap has released additional  
13 metrics to provide users with a greater level  
14 of transparency regarding which exchanges are  
15 likely to have noneconomic or fake volume,  
16 correct?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. When were these additional metrics  
19 first introduced?

20 A. Again, I don't know the exact  
21 timing, but to the best of my recollection,  
22 it's in the months after the publication of  
23 the Bitwise report.

24 Q. These metrics include a confidence  
25 indicator and liquidity score, correct?